



1646
~~\$~~

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In the Application of:

Docket No.: 2852-C

ANDERSON and GALIBERT

Confirmation No. 1630

Serial No: 09/688,459

Group Art Unit: 1646

Filed: October 13, 2000

Examiner: E. Lazar Wesley

For: ISOLATED DNA MOLECULE ENCODING RANK LIGAND

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

RECEIVED
MAY 03 2002

TRANSMITTAL OF AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE /
PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.136 (a)

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

A. Amendment

Transmitted herewith is an amendment in the above-identified application.
The claim fee has been calculated as shown below.

COMPUTATION OF FEE FOR CLAIMS AS AMENDED

	Claims Remaining After Amendment		Highest Number Previously Paid for		Present Extra		Rate		Additional Fee
Total Claims	55	-	32	=	23	x	\$18	=	\$ 414.00
Independent	7	-	4	=	3	x	\$84	=	\$ 252.00

Total Additional Fee For this Amendment \$ 666.00

B. Extension of Time

Applicants respectfully request that the time for filing a Response in connection with the Office Action dated December 18, 2001, be extended for one month until April 18, 2002.

C. Authorization to Charge Deposit Account

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge the additional claim fee in the amount of \$666.00 as required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.16(b), and the extension of time fee in the amount of \$110.00 as required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17(a)(2), to our Deposit Account No. 09-0089. A duplicate of this paper is submitted herewith for that purpose. The Commissioner is further authorized to charge any other fee required in connection with the enclosed papers, or to credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account no. 09-0089.

Respectfully submitted,

Diana K. Sheiness

Diana K. Sheiness, Ph.D., Esq.
Registration No. 35,356
DIRECT DIAL (206) 470-4818

Immunex Corporation
Law Department
51 University Street
Seattle, WA 98101
Telephone: (206) 587-0430

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this Amendment, along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed, is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail under 37 CFR §1.8 on the date indicated below, and is addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

Date: April 18, 2002

By: *D.B. Lindholm*



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

the Application of:

ANDERSON and GALIBERT

Docket No.: 2852-C

Confirmation No. 1630

Serial No: 09/688,459

Group Art Unit: 1646

Filed: October 13, 2000

Examiner: E. Lazar Wesley

For: ISOLATED DNA MOLECULE ENCODING RANK LIGAND

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

ATTN: Official Draftsman

RECEIVED
MAY 03 2002
TECH CENTER 1600/2900

REPLY TO PATENT DRAWING REVIEW

In the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (copy enclosed), received with the Office Action mailed December 18, 2001, the Draftsperson objected to the quality of Figures 1-3 and has required that new drawings be submitted. This requirement is moot with respect to Figure 2 as this drawing has been cancelled from the application. To accommodate the cancellation of Figure 2, Figure 3 has been renumbered by amendment so that its caption reads "Figure 2" instead of "Figure 3."

Transmitted herewith are new formal drawings correcting the deficiencies noted in the Draftsperson's Review, including copies of Figures 1 and 2 (formerly "Figure 3") that meet the Draftsperson's requirements for legible lines, numbers, letters and reference characters, as well as a marked-up copy of the original Figure 3 on which the amendment to the caption is indicated.

Respectfully submitted,

Diana K. Sheiness

Diana K. Sheiness, Ph.D., Esq.
Reg. No. 35,356
DIRECT DIAL (206) 470-4818

Immunex Corporation
Law Department
51 University Street
Seattle, WA 98101

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this Reply to Patent Drawing Review is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231, ATTN: Official Draftsman, on the date indicated below.

Date: April 18, 2002

DB Lindholm

NOTICE OF DRAFTSPERSON'S
PATENT DRAWING REVIEW

RECEIVED

MAY 03 2002

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

The drawing(s) filed (insert date) 10-13-00 are:A. ☐ approved by the Draftsperson under 37 CFR 1.84 or 1.152.B. ☒ objected to by the Draftsperson under 37 CFR 1.84 or 1.152 for the reasons indicated below. The Examiner will require submission of new, corrected drawings when necessary. Corrected drawing must be submitted according to the instructions on the back of this notice.

1. DRAWINGS. 37 CFR 1.84(a): Acceptable categories of drawings:
Black ink. Color.
Color drawings are not acceptable until petition is granted.
Fig(s) _____
Pencil and non black ink not permitted. Fig(s) _____
2. PHOTOGRAPHS. 37 CFR 1.84(b)
1 full-tone set is required. Fig(s) _____
Photographs may not be mounted. 37 CFR 1.84(e)
Poor quality (half-tone). Fig(s) 2
3. TYPE OF PAPER. 37 CFR 1.84(e)
Paper not flexible, strong, white, and durable.
Fig(s) _____
Erasures, alterations, overwritings, interlineations,
folds, copy machine marks not accepted. Fig(s) _____
Mylar, velum paper is not acceptable (too thin).
Fig(s) _____
4. SIZE OF PAPER. 37 CFR 1.84(f): Acceptable sizes:
21.0 cm by 29.7 cm (DIN size A4)
21.6 cm by 27.9 cm (8 1/2 x 11 inches)
All drawing sheets not the same size.
Sheet(s) _____
Drawings sheets not an acceptable size. Fig(s) _____
5. MARGINS. 37 CFR 1.84(g): Acceptable margins:
Top 2.5 cm Left 2.5cm Right 1.5 cm Bottom 1.0 cm
SIZE: A4 Size
Top 2.5 cm Left 2.5 cm Right 1.5 cm Bottom 1.0 cm
SIZE: 8 1/2 x 11
Margins not acceptable. Fig(s) _____
Top (T) _____ Left (L)
Right (R) _____ Bottom (B)
6. VIEWS. 37 CFR 1.84(h)
REMINDER: Specification may require revision to
correspond to drawing changes.
Partial views. 37 CFR 1.84(h)(2)
Brackets needed to show figure as one entity.
Fig(s) _____
Views not labeled separately or properly.
Fig(s) _____
Enlarged view not labeled separately or properly.
Fig(s) _____
7. SECTIONAL VIEWS. 37 CFR 1.84 (h)(3)
Hatching not indicated for sectional portions of an object.
Fig(s) _____
Sectional designation should be noted with Arabic or
Roman numbers. Fig(s) _____
8. ARRANGEMENT OF VIEWS. 37 CFR 1.84(i)
Words do not appear on a horizontal, left-to-right fashion
when page is either upright or turned so that the top
becomes the right side, except for graphs. Fig(s) _____
9. SCALE. 37 CFR 1.84(k)
Scale not large enough to show mechanism without
crowding when drawing is reduced in size to two-thirds in
reproduction.
Fig(s) _____
10. CHARACTER OF LINES, NUMBERS, & LETTERS.
37 CFR 1.84(i)
Lines, numbers & letters not uniformly thick and well
defined, clean, durable, and black (poor line quality).
Fig(s) 1, 3
11. SHADING. 37 CFR 1.84(m)
Solid black areas pale. Fig(s) _____
Solid black shading not permitted. Fig(s) _____
Shade lines, pale, rough and blurred. Fig(s) _____
12. NUMBERS, LETTERS, & REFERENCE CHARACTERS.
37 CFR 1.84(p)
Numbers and reference characters not plain and legible.
Fig(s) 1, 3
Figure legends are poor. Fig(s) 1-3
Numbers and reference characters not oriented in the
same direction as the view. 37 CFR 1.84(p)(1)
Fig(s) _____
English alphabet not used. 37 CFR 1.84(p)(2)
Figs _____
Numbers, letters and reference characters must be at least
.32 cm (1/8 inch) in height. 37 CFR 1.84(p)(3)
Fig(s) _____
13. LEAD LINES. 37 CFR 1.84(q)
Lead lines cross each other. Fig(s) _____
Lead lines missing. Fig(s) _____
14. NUMBERING OF SHEETS OF DRAWINGS. 37 CFR 1.84(t)
Sheets not numbered consecutively, and in Arabic numerals
beginning with number 1. Sheet(s) _____
15. NUMBERING OF VIEWS. 37 CFR 1.84(u)
Views not numbered consecutively, and in Arabic numerals,
beginning with number 1. Fig(s) _____
16. CORRECTIONS. 37 CFR 1.84(w)
Corrections not made from prior PTO-948
dated _____
17. DESIGN DRAWINGS. 37 CFR 1.152
Surface shading shown not appropriate. Fig(s) _____
Solid black shading not used for color contrast.
Fig(s) _____

COMMENTS